Copyright Notice

copyright ©1998 – 2001 Adam Di Carlo
copyright ©1997, 1998 Christian Schwarz

This manual is free software; you may redistribute it and/or modify it under the terms of the GNU General Public License as published by the Free Software Foundation; either version 2, or (at your option) any later version.

This is distributed in the hope that it will be useful, but without any warranty; without even the implied warranty of merchantability or fitness for a particular purpose. See the GNU General Public License for more details.

A copy of the GNU General Public License is available as /usr/share/common-licenses/GPL in the Debian GNU/Linux distribution or on the World Wide Web at the GNU website (http://www.gnu.org/copyleft/gpl.html). You can also obtain it by writing to the Free Software Foundation, Inc., 59 Temple Place - Suite 330, Boston, MA 02111-1307, USA.
Contents

1 Scope of This Document 1

2 Applying to Become a Maintainer 3
  2.1 Getting started ......................................................... 3
  2.2 Registering as a Debian developer ................................. 4
  2.3 Debian Mentors ......................................................... 5

3 Debian Developer’s Duties 7
  3.1 Maintaining Your Debian Information ............................ 7
  3.2 Maintaining Your Public Key ....................................... 7
  3.3 Going On Vacation Gracefully ..................................... 8
  3.4 Coordination With Upstream Developers ......................... 8
  3.5 Managing Release Critical Bugs ................................. 8
  3.6 Quality Assurance Effort ........................................... 9
  3.7 Dealing with unreachable maintainers ........................... 9
  3.8 Retiring Gracefully .................................................. 9

4 Mailing Lists, Servers, and Other Machines 11
  4.1 Mailing lists .......................................................... 11
  4.2 Debian servers ........................................................ 12
    4.2.1 The master server ............................................... 12
    4.2.2 The ftp-master server .......................................... 12
### 4.2.3 The WWW server .............................................................. 13
### 4.2.4 The CVS server ............................................................... 13
### 4.2.5 Mirrors of Debian servers ............................................... 13
### 4.3 Other Debian Machines ..................................................... 14

### 5 The Debian Archive ............................................................ 15
#### 5.1 Overview ........................................................................ 15
#### 5.2 Sections ........................................................................ 17
#### 5.3 Architectures ................................................................. 18
#### 5.4 Subsections ..................................................................... 18
#### 5.5 Packages ......................................................................... 18
#### 5.6 Distribution directories .................................................... 19
##### 5.6.1 Stable, testing, and unstable ....................................... 19
##### 5.6.2 Experimental ............................................................ 20
#### 5.7 Release code names ......................................................... 21

### 6 Package uploads ................................................................. 23
#### 6.1 New packages .................................................................. 23
#### 6.2 Adding an entry to debian/changelog ................................. 24
#### 6.3 Checking the package prior to upload ................................. 24
#### 6.4 Generating the changes file .............................................. 25
##### 6.4.1 The original source tarball ......................................... 25
##### 6.4.2 Picking a distribution ................................................ 26
#### 6.5 Uploading a package ....................................................... 27
##### 6.5.1 Uploading to ftp-master ............................................. 27
##### 6.5.2 Uploading to non-US (pandora) ................................. 27
##### 6.5.3 Uploads via chiark .................................................... 28
##### 6.5.4 Uploads via erlangen ............................................... 28
##### 6.5.5 Other Upload Queues ............................................... 29
CONTENTS

6.6 Announcing package uploads ........................................ 29
6.7 Notification that a new package has been installed .......................... 30
   6.7.1 The override file ............................................. 30

7 Non-Maintainer Uploads (NMUs) ........................................ 31
   7.1 Terminology ....................................................... 31
   7.2 Who can do an NMU ................................................ 32
   7.3 When to do a source NMU ......................................... 32
   7.4 How to do a source NMU ......................................... 33
   7.4.1 Source NMU version numbering ................................ 33
   7.4.2 Source NMUs must have a new changelog entry ................. 34
   7.4.3 Source NMUs and the Bug Tracking System .................... 34
   7.4.4 Building source NMUs ......................................... 35

8 Porting and Being Ported ............................................. 37
   8.1 Being Kind to Porters ........................................... 37
   8.2 Guidelines for Porter Uploads ..................................... 38
   8.2.1 Recompilation Binary-Only NMU Versioning .................. 39
   8.2.2 When to do a source NMU if you are a porter ................. 39
   8.3 Tools for Porters ................................................. 40
   8.3.1 quinn-diff .................................................... 40
   8.3.2 buildd .......................................................... 40
   8.3.3 dpkg-cross ..................................................... 40

9 Moving, Removing, Renaming, Adopting, and Orphaning Packages .......... 41
   9.1 Moving packages .................................................. 41
   9.2 Removing packages ............................................... 42
   9.2.1 Removing packages from Incoming ............................ 42
   9.3 Replacing or renaming packages .................................. 42
   9.4 Orphaning a package ............................................. 42
   9.5 Adopting a package .............................................. 43
CONTENTS

10 Handling Bugs ........................................... 45
  10.1 Monitoring bugs ..................................... 45
  10.2 Submitting Bugs .................................... 45
  10.3 Responding to Bugs .................................. 46
  10.4 When bugs are closed by new uploads ............... 46
  10.5 Lintian reports ..................................... 47
  10.6 Reporting lots of bugs at once ..................... 47

11 Interaction with Prospective Developers ............... 49
  11.1 Sponsoring packages ................................ 49
  11.2 Advocating new developers ......................... 49
  11.3 Handling new maintainer applications ............. 50

12 Overview of Debian Maintainer Tools .................. 51
  12.1 dpkg-dev ........................................... 51
  12.2 lintian ............................................ 51
  12.3 debconf ........................................... 52
  12.4 debhelper ......................................... 52
  12.5 debmake ........................................... 52
  12.6 yada ............................................... 52
  12.7 eqivs .............................................. 53
  12.8 cvs-buildpackage ................................... 53
  12.9 dupload ........................................... 53
  12.10 dput .............................................. 53
  12.11 fakeroot ........................................... 53
  12.12 debbootstrap ...................................... 54
  12.13 devscripts ......................................... 54
  12.14 dpkg-dev-el ....................................... 54
  12.15 debget ............................................. 54
Chapter 1

Scope of This Document

The purpose of this document is to provide an overview of the recommended procedures and the available resources for Debian developers.

The procedures discussed within include how to become a maintainer (‘Applying to Become a Maintainer’ on page 3); how to upload new packages (‘Package uploads’ on page 23); how and when to do ports and interim releases of other maintainers’ packages (‘Non-Maintainer Uploads (NMUs)’ on page 31); how to move, remove, or orphan packages (‘Moving, Removing, Renaming, Adopting, and Orphaning Packages’ on page 41); and how to handle bug reports (‘Handling Bugs’ on page 45).

The resources discussed in this reference include the mailing lists and servers (‘Mailing Lists, Servers, and Other Machines’ on page 11); a discussion of the structure of the Debian archive (‘The Debian Archive’ on page 15); explanation of the different servers which accept package uploads (‘Uploading to ftp-master’ on page 27); and a discussion of resources which can help maintainers with the quality of their packages (‘Overview of Debian Maintainer Tools’ on page 51).

It should be clear that this reference does not discuss the technical details of the Debian package nor how to generate Debian packages. Nor does this reference detail the standards to which Debian software must comply. All of such information can be found in the Debian Policy Manual (http://www.debian.org/doc/debian-policy/).

Furthermore, this document is not an expression of formal policy. It contains documentation for the Debian system and generally agreed-upon best practices. Thus, it is what is called a “normative” document.
Chapter 2

Applying to Become a Maintainer

2.1 Getting started

So, you’ve read all the documentation, you understand what everything in the hello example package is for, and you’re about to Debianize your favourite piece of software. How do you actually become a Debian developer so that your work can be incorporated into the Project?

Firstly, subscribe to <debian-devel@lists.debian.org> if you haven’t already. Send the word subscribe in the Subject of an email to <debian-devel-REQUEST@lists.debian.org>. In case of problems, contact the list administrator at <listmaster@lists.debian.org>. More information on available mailing lists can be found in ‘Mailing lists’ on page 11.

You should subscribe and lurk (that is, read without posting) for a bit before doing any coding, and you should post about your intentions to work on something to avoid duplicated effort.

Another good list to subscribe to is <debian-mentors@lists.debian.org>. See ‘Debian Mentors’ on page 5 for details. The IRC channel #debian on the Linux People IRC network (e.g., irc.debian.org) can also be helpful.

When you know how you want to contribute to Debian GNU/Linux, you should get in contact with existing Debian maintainers who are working on similar tasks. That way, you can learn from experienced developers. For example, if you are interested in packaging existing software for Debian you should try to get a sponsor. A sponsor will work together with you on your package and upload it to the Debian archive once he is happy with the packaging work you have done. You can find a sponsor by mailing the <debian-mentors@lists.debian.org> mailing list, describing your package and yourself and asking for a sponsor (see ‘Sponsoring packages’ on page 49 for more information on sponsoring). On the other hand, if you are interested in porting Debian to alternative architectures or kernels you can subscribe to port specific mailing lists and ask there how to get started. Finally, if you are interested in documentation or Quality Assurance (QA) work you can join maintainers already working on these tasks and submit patches and improvements.
2.2 Registering as a Debian developer

Before you decide to register with Debian GNU/Linux, you will need to read all the information available at the New Maintainer’s Corner (http://www.debian.org/devel/join/newmaint). It describes exactly the preparations you have to do before you can register to become a Debian developer. For example, before you apply, you have to to read the Debian Social Contract (http://www.debian.org/social_contract). Registering as a developer means that you agree with and pledge to uphold the Debian Social Contract; it is very important that maintainers are in accord with the essential ideas behind Debian GNU/Linux. Reading the GNU Manifesto (http://www.gnu.org/gnu/manifesto.html) would also be a good idea.

The process of registering as a developer is a process of verifying your identity and intentions, and checking your technical skills. As the number of people working on Debian GNU/Linux has grown to over 800 people and our systems are used in several very important places we have to be careful about being compromised. Therefore, we need to verify new maintainers before we can give them accounts on our servers and let them upload packages.

Before you actually register you should have shown that you can do competent work and will be a good contributor. You can show this by submitting patches through the Bug Tracking System or having a package sponsored by an existing maintainer for a while. Also, we expect that contributors are interested in the whole project and not just in maintaining their own packages. If you can help other maintainers by providing further information on a bug or even a patch, then do so!

Registration requires that you are familiar with Debian’s philosophy and technical documentation. Furthermore, you need a GPG key which has been signed by an existing Debian maintainer. If your GPG key is not signed yet, you should try to meet a Debian maintainer in person to get your key signed. There’s a GPG Key Signing Coordination page (http://nm.debian.org/gpg.php) which should help you find a maintainer close to you (If you cannot find a Debian maintainer close to you, there’s an alternative way to pass the ID check. You can send in a photo ID signed with your GPG key. Having your GPG key signed is the preferred way, however. See the identification page (http://www.debian.org/devel/join/nm-step2) for more information about these two options.)

If you do not have an OpenPGP key yet, generate one. Every developer needs a OpenPGP key in order to sign and verify package uploads. You should read the manual for the software you are using, since it has much important information which is critical to its security. Many more security failures are due to human error than to software failure or high-powered spy techniques. See ‘Maintaining Your Public Key’ on page 7 for more information on maintaining your public key.

Debian uses the GNU Privacy Guard (package gnupg version 1 or better) as its baseline standard. You can use some other implementation of OpenPGP as well. Note that OpenPGP is a open standard based on RFC 2440 (http://www.gnupg.org/rfc2440.html).

The recommended public key algorithm for use in Debian development work is the DSA (sometimes call “DSS” or “DH/ElGamal”). Other key types may be used however. Your key length must be at least 1024 bits; there is no reason to use a smaller key, and doing so would be much less secure. Your key must be
signed with at least your own user ID; this prevents user ID tampering. gpg does this automatically.

If your public key isn’t on public key servers such as pgp5.ai.mit.edu, please read the documentation available locally in /usr/share/doc/pgp/keyserv.doc. That document contains instructions on how to put your key on the public key servers. The New Maintainer Group will put your public key on the servers if it isn’t already there.

Some countries restrict the use of cryptographic software by their citizens. This need not impede one’s activities as a Debian package maintainer however, as it may be perfectly legal to use cryptographic products for authentication, rather than encryption purposes (as is the case in France). Debian GNU/Linux does not require the use of cryptography qua cryptography in any manner. If you live in a country where use of cryptography even for authentication is forbidden then please contact us so we can make special arrangements.

To apply as a new maintainer, you need an existing Debian maintainer to verify your application (an advocate). After you have contributed to Debian for a while, and you want to apply to become a registered developer, an existing developer with whom you have worked over the past months has to express his belief that you can contribute to Debian successfully.

When you have found an advocate, have your GPG key signed and have already contributed to Debian for a while, you’re ready to apply. You can simply register on our application page (http://nm.debian.org/newnm.php). After you have signed up, your advocate has to confirm your application. When your advocate has completed this step you will be assigned an Application Manager who will go with you through the necessary steps of the New Maintainer process. You can always check your status on the applications status board (http://nm.debian.org/).

For more details, please consult New Maintainer’s Corner (http://www.debian.org/devel/join/newmaint) at the Debian web site. Make sure that you are familiar with the necessary steps of the New Maintainer process before actually applying. If you are well prepared, you can save a lot of timer later on.

### 2.3 Debian Mentors

The mailing list <debian-mentors@lists.debian.org> has been set up for novice maintainers who seek help with initial packaging and other developer-related issues. Every new developer is invited to subscribe to that list (see ‘Mailing lists’ on page 11 for details).

Those who prefer one-on-one help (e.g., via private email) should also post to that list and an experienced developer will volunteer to help.
Chapter 3

Debian Developer’s Duties

3.1 Maintaining Your Debian Information

There’s a LDAP database containing many informations concerning all developers, you can access it at https://db.debian.org/. You can update your password (this password is propagated to most of the machines that are accessible to you), your address, your country, the latitude and longitude of the point where you live, phone and fax numbers, your preferred shell, your IRC nickname, your web page and the email that you’re using as alias for your debian.org email. Most of the information is not accessible to the public, for more details about this database, please read its online documentation that you can find at http://db.debian.org/doc-general.html.

You have to keep the information available there up to date.

3.2 Maintaining Your Public Key

Be very careful with your private keys. Do not place them on any public servers or multiuser machines, such as master.debian.org. Back your keys up; keep a copy offline. Read the documentation that comes with your software; read the PGP FAQ (http://www.cam.ac.uk.pgp.net/pgpnet/pgp-faq/).

If you add signatures to your public key, or add user identities, you can update the debian keyring by sending your key to the key server at keyring.debian.org. If you need to add a completely new key, or remove an old key, send mail to <keyring-maint@debian.org>. The same key extraction routines discussed in ‘Registering as a Debian developer’ on page 4 apply.

You can find a more in-depth discussion of Debian key maintenance in the documentation for the debian-keyring package.
3.3 Going On Vacation Gracefully

Most developers take vacations, and usually this means that they can’t work for Debian and they can’t be reached by email if any problem occurs. The other developers need to know that you’re on vacation so that they’ll do whatever is needed when such a problem occurs. Usually this means that other developers are allowed to NMU (see ‘Non-Maintainer Uploads (NMUs)’ on page 31) your package if a big problem (release critical bugs, security update, . . .) occurs while you’re on vacation.

In order to inform the other developers, there’s two things that you should do. First send a mail to <debian-private@lists.debian.org> giving the period of time when you will be on vacation. You can also give some special instructions on what to do if any problem occurs. Be aware that some people don’t care for vacation notices and don’t want to read them; you should prepend “[VAC]” to the subject of your message so that it can be easily filtered.

Next you should update your information available in the Debian LDAP database and mark yourself as “on vacation” (this information is only accessible to debian developers). Don’t forget to remove the “on vacation” flag when you come back!

3.4 Coordination With Upstream Developers

A big part of your job as Debian maintainer will be to stay in contact with the upstream developers. Debian users will sometimes report bugs to the Bug Tracking System that are not specific to Debian. You must forward these bug reports to the upstream developers so that they can be fixed in a future release. It’s not your job to fix non-Debian specific bugs. However, if you are able to do so, you are encouraged to contribute to upstream development of the package by providing a fix for the bug. Debian users and developers will often submit patches to fix upstream bugs, and you should evaluate and forward these patches upstream.

If you need to modify the upstream sources in order to build a policy conformant package, then you should propose a nice fix to the upstream developers which can be included there, so that you won’t have to modify the sources of the next upstream version. Whatever changes you need, always try not to fork from the upstream sources.

3.5 Managing Release Critical Bugs

Release Critical Bugs (RCB) are all bugs that have severity critical, grave or serious. Those bugs can delay the Debian release and/or can justify the removal of a package at freeze time. That’s why these bugs need to be corrected as quickly as possible. You must be aware that some developers who are part of the Debian Quality Assurance (http://qa.debian.org/) effort are following those bugs and try to help you whenever they are able. But if you can’t fix such bugs within 2 weeks, you should either ask for help by sending a mail to the Quality Assurance (QA) group <debian-qa@lists.debian.org>, or explain
your difficulties and present a plan to fix them by sending a mail to the proper bug report. Otherwise, people from the QA group may want to do a Non-Maintainer Upload (see ‘Non-Maintainer Uploads (NMUs)’ on page 31) after trying to contact you (they might not wait as long as usual before they do their NMU if they have seen no recent activity from you in the BTS).

### 3.6 Quality Assurance Effort

Even though there is a dedicated group of people for Quality Assurance, QA duties are not reserved solely for them. You can participate in this effort by keeping your packages as bug-free as possible, and as lintian-clean (see ‘Lintian reports’ on page 47) as possible. If you do not find that possible, then you should consider orphaning some of your packages (see ‘Orphaning a package’ on page 42). Alternatively, you may ask the help of other people in order to catch up the backlog of bugs that you have (you can ask for help on <debian-qa@lists.debian.org> or <debian-devel@lists.debian.org>).

### 3.7 Dealing with unreachable maintainers

If you notice that a package is lacking maintenance, you should make sure the maintainer is active and will continue to work on his packages. Try contacting him yourself.

If you do not get a reply after a few weeks you should collect all useful information about this maintainer. Start by logging into the Debian Developer’s Database (https://db.debian.org/) and doing a full search to check whether the maintainer is on vacation and when he was last seen. Collect any important package names he maintains and any Release Critical bugs filled against them.

Send all this information to <debian-qa@lists.debian.org>, in order to let the QA people do whatever is needed.

### 3.8 Retiring Gracefully

If you choose to leave the Debian project, you should make sure you do the following steps:

1. Orphan all your packages, as described in ‘Orphaning a package’ on page 42.

2. Send an email about how you are leaving the project to <debian-private@lists.debian.org>.

3. Notify the Debian key ring maintainers that you are leaving by emailing to <keyring-maint@debian.org>.
Chapter 4

Mailing Lists, Servers, and Other Machines

In this chapter you will find a very brief road map of the Debian mailing lists, the main Debian servers, and other Debian machines which may be available to you as a developer.

4.1 Mailing lists

The mailing list server is at lists.debian.org. Mail debian-foo-REQUEST@lists.debian.org, where debian-foo is the name of the list, with the word subscribe in the Subject to subscribe to the list or unsubscribe to unsubscribe. More detailed instructions on how to subscribe and unsubscribe to the mailing lists can be found at http://www.debian.org/MailingLists/subscribe, ftp://ftp.debian.org/debian/doc/mailing-lists.txt or locally in /usr/share/doc/debian/mailing-lists.txt if you have the doc-debian package installed.

When replying to messages on the mailing list, please do not send a carbon copy (CC) to the original poster unless they explicitly request to be copied. Anyone who posts to a mailing list should read it to see the responses.

The following are the core Debian mailing lists: <debian-devel@lists.debian.org>, <debian-policy@lists.debian.org>, <debian-user@lists.debian.org>, <debian-private@lists.debian.org>, <debian-announce@lists.debian.org>, and <debian-devel-announce@lists.debian.org>. All developers are expected to be subscribed to at least <debian-devel-announce@lists.debian.org>. There are other mailing lists available for a variety of special topics; see http://www.debian.org/MailingLists/subscribe for a list. Cross-posting (sending the same message to multiple lists) is discouraged.

<debian-private@lists.debian.org> is a special mailing list for private discussions amongst Debian developers. It is meant to be used for posts which for whatever reason should not be published publically. As such, it is a low volume list, and users are urged not to use <debian-private@lists.debian.org> unless it is really necessary. Moreover, do not forward email from that list to anyone.
Archives of this list are not available on the web for obvious reasons, but you can see them using your shell account master.debian.org and looking in the ~debian/archive/debian-private directory.

<debian-email@lists.debian.org> is a special mailing list used as a grab-bag for Debian related correspondence such as contacting upstream authors about licenses, bugs, etc. or discussing the project with others where it might be useful to have the discussion archived somewhere.

As ever on the net, please trim down the quoting of articles you’re replying to. In general, please adhere to the usual conventions for posting messages.

Online archives of mailing lists are available at http://lists.debian.org/.

### 4.2 Debian servers

Debian servers are well known servers which serve critical functions in the Debian project. Every developer should know what these servers are and what they do.

If you have a problem with the operation of a Debian server, and you think that the system operators need to be notified of this problem, please find the contact address for the particular machine at http://db.debian.org/machines.cgi. If you have a non-operating problems (such as packages to be remove, suggestions for the web site, etc.), generally you’ll report a bug against a “pseudo-package”. See ‘Submitting Bugs’ on page 45 for information on how to submit bugs.

#### 4.2.1 The master server

master.debian.org is the canonical location for the Bug Tracking System (BTS). If you plan on doing some statistical analysis or processing of Debian bugs, this would be the place to do it. Please describe your plans on <debian-devel@lists.debian.org> before implementing anything, however, to reduce unnecessary duplication of effort or wasted processing time.

All Debian developers have accounts on master.debian.org. Please take care to protect your password to this machine. Try to avoid login or upload methods which send passwords over the Internet in the clear.

If you find a problem with master.debian.org such as disk full, suspicious activity, or whatever, send an email to <debian-admin@debian.org>.

#### 4.2.2 The ftp-master server

The ftp-master server, ftp-master.debian.org (or auric.debian.org), holds the canonical copy of the Debian archive (excluding the non-US packages). Generally, package uploads go to this server; see ‘Package uploads’ on page 23.
Problems with the Debian FTP archive generally need to be reported as bugs against the ftp.debian.org pseudo-package or an email to <ftpmaster@debian.org>, but also see the procedures in ‘Moving, Removing, Renaming, Adopting, and Orphaning Packages’ on page 41.

4.2.3 The WWW server

The main web server, www.debian.org, is also known as klecker.debian.org. All developers are given accounts on this machine.

If you have some Debian-specific information which you want to serve up on the web, you can do this by putting material in the public_html directory under your home directory. You should do this on klecker.debian.org. Any material you put in these areas are accessible via the URL http://people.debian.org/~user-id. You should only use this particular location because it will be backed up, whereas on other hosts it won’t. Please do not put any material on Debian servers not relating to Debian, unless you have prior permission. Send mail to <debian-devel@lists.debian.org> if you have any questions.

If you find a problem with the Debian web server, you should generally submit a bug against the pseudo-package, www.debian.org. First check whether or not someone else has already reported the problem on the Bug Tracking System (http://bugs.debian.org/www.debian.org).

4.2.4 The CVS server

cvs.debian.org is also known as klecker.debian.org, discussed above. If you need to use a publically accessible CVS server, for instance, to help coordinate work on a package between many different developers, you can request a CVS area on the server.

Generally, cvs.debian.org offers a combination of local CVS access, anonymous client-server read-only access, and full client-server access through ssh. Also, the CVS area can be accessed read-only via the Web at http://cvs.debian.org/.

To request a CVS area, send a request via email to <debian-admin@debian.org>. Include the name of the requested CVS area, Debian account should own the CVS root area, and why you need it.

4.2.5 Mirrors of Debian servers

The web and FTP servers have several mirrors available. Please do not put heavy load on the canonical FTP or web servers. Ideally, the canonical servers only mirror out to a first tier of mirrors, and all user access is to the mirrors. This allows Debian to better spread its bandwidth requirements over several servers and networks. Note that newer push mirroring techniques ensure that mirrors are as up-to-date as they can be.

The main web page listing the available public FTP (and, usually, HTTP) servers can be found at http://www.debian.org/distrib/ftplist. More information concerning Debian mirrors can be found...
at http://www.debian.org/mirror/. This useful page includes information and tools which can be helpful if you are interested in setting up your own mirror, either for internal or public access.

Note that mirrors are generally run by third-parties who are interested in helping Debian. As such, developers generally do not have accounts on these machines.

### 4.3 Other Debian Machines

There are other Debian machines which may be made available to you. You can use these for Debian-related purposes as you see fit. Please be kind to system administrators, and do not use up tons and tons of disk space, network bandwidth, or CPU without first getting the approval of the local maintainers. Usually these machines are run by volunteers. Generally, these machines are for porting activities.

Aside from the servers mentioned in ‘Debian servers’ on page 12, there is a list of machines available to Debian developers at http://db.debian.org/machines.cgi.
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The Debian Archive

5.1 Overview

The Debian GNU/Linux distribution consists of a lot of Debian packages (.deb's, currently around 6800) and a few additional files (documentation, installation disk images, etc.).

Here is an example directory tree of a complete Debian archive:

dists/stable/main/
dists/stable/main/binary-all/
dists/stable/main/binary-all/admin/
dists/stable/main/binary-all/base/
dists/stable/main/binary-all/comm/
dists/stable/main/binary-all/devel/
  ...
dists/stable/main/binary-i386/
dists/stable/main/binary-i386/admin/
dists/stable/main/binary-i386/base/
  ...
dists/stable/main/binary-m68k/
dists/stable/main/binary-m68k/admin/
dists/stable/main/binary-m68k/base/
  ...
dists/stable/main/source/
dists/stable/main/source/admin/
dists/stable/main/source/base/
  ...
dists/stable/main/disks-i386/
dists/stable/main/disks-m68k/
  ...

dists/stable/contrib/
dists/stable/contrib/binary-all/
dists/stable/contrib/binary-i386/
dists/stable/contrib/binary-m68k/
  ...
dists/stable/contrib/source/

dists/stable/non-free/
dists/stable/non-free/binary-all/
dists/stable/non-free/binary-i386/
dists/stable/non-free/binary-m68k/
  ...
dists/stable/non-free/source/

dists/testing/
dists/testing/main/
  ...
dists/testing/contrib/
    ...
dists/testing/non-free/
      ...

dists/unstable
dists/unstable/main/
  ...
dists/unstable/contrib/
    ...
dists/unstable/non-free/
      ...

pool/
pool/a/
pool/a/apt/
    ...
pool/b/
pool/b/bash/
    ...
pool/liba/
pool/liba/libalias-perl/
As you can see, the top-level directory contains two directories, `dists/` and `pool/`. The latter is a “pool” in which the packages actually are, and which is handled by the archive maintenance database and the accompanying programs. The former contains the distributions, `stable`, `testing` and `unstable`. Each of those distribution directories is divided in equivalent subdirectories purpose of which is equal, so we will only explain how it looks in stable. The `Packages` and `Sources` files in the distribution subdirectories can reference files in the `pool/` directory.

`dists/stable` contains three directories, namely `main`, `contrib`, and `non-free`.

In each of the areas, there is a directory with the source packages (`source`), a directory for each supported architecture (`binary-i386`, `binary-m68k`, etc.), and a directory for architecture independent packages (`binary-all`).

The `main` area contains additional directories which holds the disk images and some essential pieces of documentation required for installing the Debian distribution on a specific architecture (`disks-i386`, `disks-m68k`, etc.).

The `binary-*` and `source` directories are divided further into subsections.

### 5.2 Sections

The `main` section of the Debian archive is what makes up the **official Debian GNU/Linux distribution**. The `main` section is official because it fully complies with all our guidelines. The other two sections do not, to different degrees; as such, they are **not** officially part of Debian GNU/Linux.

Every package in the main section must fully comply with the Debian Free Software Guidelines ([http://www.debian.org/social_contract#guidelines](http://www.debian.org/social_contract#guidelines)) (DFSG) and with all other policy requirements as described in the Debian Policy Manual ([http://www.debian.org/doc/debian-policy/](http://www.debian.org/doc/debian-policy/)). The DFSG is our definition of “free software.” Check out the Debian Policy Manual for details.

Packages in the `contrib` section have to comply with the DFSG, but may fail other requirements. For instance, they may depend on non-free packages.

Packages which do not apply to the DFSG are placed in the **non-free** section. These packages are not considered as part of the Debian distribution, though we support their use, and we provide infrastructure (such as our bug-tracking system and mailing lists) for non-free software packages.

The separation of the three sections at the top-level of the archive is important for all people who want to distribute Debian, either via FTP servers on the Internet or on CD-ROMs: by distributing only the main and contrib sections, one can avoid any legal risks. Some packages in the non-free section do not allow commercial distribution, for example.

On the other hand, a CD-ROM vendor could easily check the individual package licenses of the packages in non-free and include as many on the CD-ROMs as he’s allowed to. (Since this varies greatly from vendor to vendor, this job can’t be done by the Debian developers.)

5.3 Architectures

In the first days, the Linux kernel was only available for the Intel i386 (or greater) platforms, and so was Debian. But when Linux became more and more popular, the kernel was ported to other architectures, too.

The Linux 2.0 kernel supports Intel x86, DEC Alpha, SPARC, Motorola 680x0 (like Atari, Amiga and Macintoshes), MIPS, and PowerPC. The Linux 2.2 kernel supports even more architectures, including ARM and UltraSPARC. Since Linux supports these platforms, Debian decided that it should, too. Therefore, Debian has ports underway; in fact, we also have ports underway to non-Linux kernel. Aside from i386 (our name for Intel x86), there is m68k, alpha, powerpc, sparc, hurd-i386, and arm, as of this writing.

Debian GNU/Linux 1.3 is only available as i386. Debian 2.0 shipped for i386 and m68k architectures. Debian 2.1 ships for the i386, m68k, alpha, and sparc architectures. Debian 2.2 adds support for the powerpc and arm architectures.

Information for developers or uses about the specific ports are available at the Debian Ports web pages (http://www.debian.org/ports/).

5.4 Subsections

The sections main, contrib, and non-free are split into subsections to simplify the installation process and the maintainance of the archive. Subsections are not formally defined, except perhaps the ‘base’ subsection. Subsections simply exist to simplify the organization and browsing of available packages. Please check the current Debian distribution to see which sections are available.

Note however that with the introduction of package pools (see the top-level pool/ directory), the subsections in the form of subdirectories will eventually cease to exist. They will be kept in the packages’ ‘Section’ header fields, though.

5.5 Packages

There are two types of Debian packages, namely source and binary packages.
Source packages consist of either two or three files: a .dsc file, and either a .tar.gz file or both an .orig.tar.gz and a .diff.gz file.

If a package is developed specially for Debian and is not distributed outside of Debian, there is just one .tar.gz file which contains the sources of the program. If a package is distributed elsewhere too, the .orig.tar.gz file stores the so-called *upstream source code*, that is the source code that’s distributed from the *upstream maintainer* (often the author of the software). In this case, the .diff.gz contains the changes made by the Debian maintainer.

The .dsc lists all the files in the source package together with checksums (md5sums) and some additional info about the package (maintainer, version, etc.).

### 5.6 Distribution directories

The directory system described in the previous chapter is itself contained within *distribution directories*. Each distribution is actually contained in the pool directory in the top-level of the Debian archive itself.

To summarize, the Debian archive has a root directory within an FTP server. For instance, at the mirror site, ftp.us.debian.org, the Debian archive itself is contained in /debian, which is a common location (another is /pub/debian).

A distribution is comprised of Debian source and binary packages, and the respective Sources and Packages index files, containing the header information from all those packages. The former are kept in the pool/ directory, while the latter are kept in the dists/ directory of the archive (because of backwards compatibility).

#### 5.6.1 Stable, testing, and unstable

There are always distributions called *stable* (residing in dists/stable), one called *testing* (residing in dists/testing), and one called *unstable* (residing in dists/unstable). This reflects the development process of the Debian project.

Active development is done in the *unstable* distribution (that’s why this distribution is sometimes called the *development distribution*). Every Debian developer can update his or her packages in this distribution at any time. Thus, the contents of this distribution change from day-to-day. Since no special effort is done to make sure everything in this distribution is working properly, it is sometimes “unstable.”

Packages get copied from *unstable* to *testing* if they satisfy certain criteria. To get into *testing* distribution, a package needs to be in the archive for two weeks and not have any release critical bugs. After that period, it will propagate into *testing* as soon as anything it depends on is also added. This process is automatic. You can see some notes on this system as well as update_excuses (describing which packages are valid candidates, which are not, and why not) at http://ftp-master.debian.org/testing/.
After a period of development, once the release manager deems fit, the testing distribution is frozen, meaning that the policies which control how packages move from unstable to testing are tightened. Packages which are too buggy are removed. No changes are allowed into testing except for bug fixes. After some time has elapsed, depending on progress, the testing distribution goes into a ‘deep freeze’, when no changes are made to it except those needed for the installation system. This is called a “test cycle”, and it can last up to two weeks. There can be several test cycles, until the distribution is prepared for release, as decided by the release manager. At the end of the last test cycle, the testing distribution is renamed to stable, overriding the old stable distribution, which is removed at that time (although it can be found at archive.debian.org).

This development cycle is based on the assumption that the unstable distribution becomes stable after passing a period of being in testing. Even once a distribution is considered stable, a few bugs inevitably remain — that’s why the stable distribution is updated every now and then. However, these updates are tested very carefully and have to be introduced into the archive individually to reduce the risk of introducing new bugs. You can find proposed additions to stable in the proposed-updates directory. Those packages in proposed-updates that pass muster are periodically moved as a batch into the stable distribution and the revision level of the stable distribution is incremented (e.g., ‘1.3’ becomes ‘1.3r1’, ‘2.0r2’ becomes ‘2.0r3’, and so forth).

Note that development under unstable continues during the “freeze” period, since the unstable distribution remains in place in parallel with testing.

5.6.2 Experimental

The experimental distribution is a specialty distribution. It is not a full distribution in the same sense as ‘stable’ and ‘unstable’ are. Instead, it is meant to be a temporary staging area for highly experimental software where there’s a good chance that the software could break your system, or software that’s just too unstable even for the unstable distribution (but there is a reason to package it nevertheless). Users who download and install packages from experimental are expected to have been duly warned. In short, all bets are off for the experimental distribution.

If there is a chance that the software could do grave damage to a system, it is likely to be better to put it into experimental. For instance, an experimental compressed file system should probably go into experimental.

Whenever there is a new upstream version of a package that introduces new features but breaks a lot of old ones, it should either not be uploaded, or be uploaded to experimental. A new, beta, version of some software which uses completely different configuration can go into experimental, at the maintainer’s discretion. If you are working on an incompatible or complex upgrade situation, you can also use experimental as a staging area, so that testers can get early access.

Some experimental software can still go into unstable, with a few warnings in the description, but that isn’t recommended because packages from unstable are expected to propagate to testing and thus to stable.

New software which isn’t likely to damage your system can go directly into unstable.

An alternative to experimental is to use your personal web space on people.debian.org (klecker.debian.org).
5.7  Release code names

Every released Debian distribution has a code name: Debian 1.1 is called ‘buzz’; Debian 1.2, ‘rex’; Debian 1.3, ‘bo’; Debian 2.0, ‘hamm’; Debian 2.1, ‘slink’; Debian 2.2, ‘potato’; and Debian 3.0, ‘woody’. There is also a “pseudo-distribution”, called ‘sid’, which is the current ‘unstable’ distribution; since packages are moved from ‘unstable’ to ‘testing’ as they approach stability, ‘sid’ itself is never released. As well as the usual contents of a Debian distribution, ‘sid’ contains packages for architectures which are not yet officially supported or released by Debian. These architectures are planned to be integrated into the mainstream distribution at some future date.

Since Debian has an open development model (i.e., everyone can participate and follow the development) even the ‘unstable’ and ‘testing’ distributions are distributed to the Internet through the Debian FTP and HTTP server network. Thus, if we had called the directory which contains the release candidate version ‘testing’, then we would have to rename it to ‘stable’ when the version is released, which would cause all FTP mirrors to re retrieves the whole distribution (which is quite large).

On the other hand, if we called the distribution directories Debian-x.y from the beginning, people would think that Debian release x.y is available. (This happened in the past, where a CD-ROM vendor built a Debian 1.0 CD-ROM based on a pre-1.0 development version. That’s the reason why the first official Debian release was 1.1, and not 1.0.)

Thus, the names of the distribution directories in the archive are determined by their code names and not their release status (e.g., ‘slink’). These names stay the same during the development period and after the release; symbolic links, which can be changed easily, indicate the currently released stable distribution. That’s why the real distribution directories use the code names, while symbolic links for stable, testing, and unstable point to the appropriate release directories.
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Package uploads

6.1 New packages

If you want to create a new package for the Debian distribution, you should first check the Work-Needing and Prospective Packages (WNPP) (http://www.debian.org/devel/wnpp/) list. Checking the WNPP list ensures that no one is already working on packaging that software, and that effort is not duplicated. Read the WNPP web pages (http://www.debian.org/devel/wnpp/) for more information.

Assuming no one else is already working on your prospective package, you must then submit a bug report (‘Submitting Bugs’ on page 45) against the pseudo package wnpp describing your plan to create a new package, including, but not limiting yourself to, a description of the package, the license of the prospective package and the current URL where it can be downloaded from.

You should set the subject of the bug to “ITP: foo – short description”, substituting the name of the new package for foo. The severity of the bug report must be set to wishlist. If you feel it’s necessary, send a copy to <debian-devel@lists.debian.org> by putting the address in the X-Debbugs-CC: header of the message (no, don’t use CC:, because that way the message’s subject won’t indicate the bug number).

Please include a Closes: bug#nnnnn entry on the changelog of the new package in order for the bug report to be automatically closed once the new package is installed on the archive (‘When bugs are closed by new uploads’ on page 46).

There are a number of reasons why we ask maintainers to announce their intentions:

- It helps the (potentially new) maintainer to tap into the experience of people on the list, and lets them know if anyone else is working on it already.
- It lets other people thinking about working on the package know that there already is a volunteer, so efforts may be shared.
- It lets the rest of the maintainers know more about the package than the one line description and the usual changelog entry “Initial release” that gets posted to debian-devel-changes.
• It is helpful to the people who live off unstable (and form our first line of testers). We should encourage 
these people.
• The announcements give maintainers and other interested parties a better feel of what is going on, and 
what is new, in the project.

### 6.2 Adding an entry to `debian/changelog`

Changes that you make to the package need to be recorded in the `debian/changelog`. These changes 
should provide a concise description of what was changed, why (if it’s in doubt), and note if any bugs were 
closed. They also record when the packages was completed. This file will be installed in `/usr/share 

The `debian/changelog` file conform to a certain structure, with a number of different fields. One field 
of note, the `distribution`, is described in ‘Picking a distribution’ on page 26. More information about the 
structure structure of this file can be found in the Debian Policy section titled “`debian/changelog`”.

Changelog entries can be used to automatically close Debian bugs when the package is installed into the 
archive. See ‘When bugs are closed by new uploads’ on page 46.

It is conventional that the changelog entry notating that the package contains a new upstream version of the 
software looks like this:

```
* new upstream version
```

There are tools to help you create entries and finalize the `changelog` for release — see ‘`devscripts`’ 
on page 54 and ‘`dpkg-dev-el`’ on page 54.

### 6.3 Checking the package prior to upload

Before you upload your package, you should do basic testing on it. At a minimum, you should try the 
following activities (you’ll need to have an older version of the same Debian package around):

• Install the package and make sure the software works, or upgrade the package from an older version 
to your new version if a Debian package for it already exists.

• Run lintian over the package. You can run lintian as follows: `lintian -v package-version.changes`. 
This will check the source package as well as the binary package. If you don’t understand the out-
put that lintian generates, try adding the `-i` switch, which will cause lintian to output a very 
verbose description of the problem.
Normally, a package should *not* be uploaded if it causes lintian to emit errors (they will start with E). For more information on lintian, see ‘lintian’ on page 51.

- Downgrade the package to the previous version (if one exists) — this tests the *postrm* and *prerm* scripts.
- Remove the package, then reinstall it.

### 6.4 Generating the changes file

When a package is uploaded to the Debian FTP archive, it must be accompanied by a `.changes` file, which gives directions to the archive maintainers for its handling. This is usually generated by `dpkg-genchanges` during the normal package build process.

The changes file is a control file with the following fields:

- Format
- Date
- Source
- Binary
- Architecture
- Version
- Distribution
- Urgency
- Maintainer
- Description
- Changes
- Files

All of these fields are mandatory for a Debian upload. See the list of control fields in the Debian Policy Manual (http://www.debian.org/doc/debian-policy/) for the contents of these fields. You can close bugs automatically using the Description field, see ‘When bugs are closed by new uploads’ on page 46.

#### 6.4.1 The original source tarball

The first time a version is uploaded which corresponds to a particular upstream version, the original source tar file should be uploaded and included in the `.changes` file. Subsequently, this very same tar file should be used to build the new diffs and `.dsc` files, and will not need to be re-uploaded.

By default, `dpkg-genchanges` and `dpkg-buildpackage` will include the original source tar file if and only if the Debian revision part of the source version number is 0 or 1, indicating a new upstream version. This behaviour may be modified by using `-sa` to always include it or `-sd` to always leave it out.
If no original source is included in the upload, the original source tar-file used by `dpkg-source` when constructing the `.dsc` file and diff to be uploaded *must* be byte-for-byte identical with the one already in the archive. If there is some reason why this is not the case, the new version of the original source should be uploaded, possibly by using the `-sa` flag.

### 6.4.2 Picking a distribution

The `Distribution` field, which originates from the first line of the `debian/changelog` file, indicates which distribution the package is intended for.

There are three possible values for this field: ‘stable’, ‘unstable’, and ‘experimental’. Normally, packages are uploaded into `unstable`. You should avoid combining ‘stable’ with others because of potential problems with library dependencies (for your package and for the package built by the build daemons for other architecture). See ‘Uploading to `stable`’ on this page for more information on when and how to upload to `stable`.

It never makes sense to combine the `experimental` distribution with anything else.

#### Uploading to `stable`

Uploading to `stable` means that the package will be placed into the `proposed-updates` directory of the Debian archive for further testing before it is actually included in `stable`.

Extra care should be taken when uploading to `stable`. Basically, a package should only be uploaded to `stable` if one of the following happens:

- a security problem (e.g. a Debian security advisory)
- a truely critical functionality problem
- the package becomes uninstallable
- a released architecture lacks the package

It is discouraged to change anything else in the package that isn’t important, because even trivial fixes can cause bugs later on. Uploading new upstream versions to fix security problems is deprecated; applying the specific patch from the new upstream version to the old one (“backporting” the patch) is the right thing to do in most cases.

Packages uploaded to `stable` need to be compiled on systems running `stable`, so that their dependencies are limited to the libraries (and other packages) available in `stable`; for example, a package uploaded to `stable` that depends on a library package that only exists in `unstable` will be rejected. Making changes to
dependencies of other packages (by messing with *Provides* or *shlibs* files), possibly making those other packages uninstallable, is strongly discouraged.

The Release Team (which can be reached at <debian-release@lists.debian.org>) will regularly evaluate the uploads in *proposed-updates* and decide if your package can be included in *stable*. Please be clear (and verbose, if necessary) in your changelog entries for uploads to *stable*, because otherwise the package won’t be considered for inclusion.

## 6.5 Uploading a package

### 6.5.1 Uploading to *ftp-master*

To upload a package, you need a personal account on *ftp-master.debian.org*, which you should have as an official maintainer. If you use `scp` or `rsync` to transfer the files, place them into `/org/ftp.debian.org/incoming/`; if you use anonymous FTP to upload, place them into `/pub/UploadQueue/`. Please note that you should transfer the changes file last. Otherwise, your upload may be rejected because the archive maintenance software will parse the changes file and see that not all files have been uploaded. If you don’t want to bother with transferring the changes file last, you can simply copy your files to a temporary directory on *ftp-master* and then move them to `/org/ftp.debian.org/incoming/`.

*Note:* Do not upload to *ftp-master* packages containing software that is patent-restricted by the United States government, any cryptographic packages which belong in *contrib* or *non-free*. If you can’t upload it to *ftp-master*, then neither can you upload it to the overseas upload queues on *chiark* or *erlangen*. Uploads of such software should go to *non-us* (see ‘Uploading to *non-US* (pandora)’ on the current page). If you are not sure whether U.S. patent controls or cryptographic controls apply to your package, post a message to <debian-devel@lists.debian.org> and ask.

You may also find the Debian packages *dupload* or *dput* useful when uploading packages. These handy programs are distributed with defaults for uploading via *ftp* to *ftp-master*, *chiark*, and *erlangen*. It can also be configured to use *ssh* or *rsync*. See *dupload*(1), *dupload*(5) and *dput*(1) for more information.

After uploading your package, you can check how the archive maintenance software will process it by running *dinstall* on your changes file:

```
    dinstall -n foo.changes
```

### 6.5.2 Uploading to *non-US* (pandora)

As discussed above, export controlled software should not be uploaded to *ftp-master*. Instead, upload the package to *non-us.debian.org*, placing the files in `/org/non-us.debian.org/incoming/`.
(both ‘dupload’ on page 53 and ‘dput’ on page 53 can be used also, with the right invocation). By default, you can use the same account/password that works on ftp-master. If you use anonymous FTP to upload, place the files into /pub/UploadQueue/.

You can check your upload the same way it’s done on ftp-master, with:

```
dinstall -n foo.changes
```

Note that U.S. residents or citizens are subject to restrictions on export of cryptographic software. As of this writing, U.S. citizens are allowed to export some cryptographic software, subject to notification rules by the U.S. Department of Commerce. However, this restriction has been waived for software which is already available outside the U.S. Therefore, any cryptographic software which belongs in the main section of the Debian archive and does not depend on any package outside of main (e.g., does not depend on anything in non-US/main) can be uploaded to ftp-master or its queues, described above.

Debian policy does not prevent upload to non-US by U.S. residents or citizens, but care should be taken in doing so. It is recommended that developers take all necessary steps to ensure that they are not breaking current US law by doing an upload to non-US, including consulting a lawyer.


This section is for information only and does not constitute legal advice. Again, it is strongly recommended that U.S. citizens and residents consult a lawyer before doing uploads to non-US.

### 6.5.3 Uploads via chiark

If you have a slow network connection to ftp-master, there are alternatives. One is to upload files to Incoming via a upload queue in Europe on chiark. For details connect to ftp://ftp.chiark.greenend.org.uk/pub/debian/private/project/README.how-to-upload.

**Note:** Do not upload packages containing software that is export-controlled by the United States government to the queue on chiark. Since this upload queue goes to ftp-master, the prescription found in ‘Uploading to ftp-master’ on the preceding page applies here as well.

The program dupload comes with support for uploading to chiark; please refer to the documentation that comes with the program for details.

### 6.5.4 Uploads via erlangen

Another upload queue is available in Germany: just upload the files via anonymous FTP to ftp://ftp.uni-erlangen.de/pub/Linux/debian/UploadQueue/.
The upload must be a complete Debian upload, as you would put it into ftp-master’s Incoming, i.e., a .changes files along with the other files mentioned in the .changes. The queue daemon also checks that the .changes is correctly PGP-signed by a Debian developer, so that no bogus files can find their way to ftp-master via this queue. Please also make sure that the Maintainer field in the .changes contains your e-mail address. The address found there is used for all replies, just as on ftp-master.

There’s no need to move your files into a second directory after the upload, as on chiark. And, in any case, you should get a mail reply from the queue daemon explaining what happened to your upload. Hopefully it should have been moved to ftp-master, but in case of errors you’re notified, too.

**Note:** Do not upload packages containing software that is export-controlled by the United States government to the queue on erlangen. Since this upload queue goes to ftp-master, the prescription found in ‘Uploading to ftp-master’ on page 27 applies here as well.

The program dupload comes with support for uploading to erlangen; please refer to the documentation that comes with the program for details.

### 6.5.5 Other Upload Queues

Another upload queue is available which is based in the US, and is a good backup when there are problems reaching ftp-master. You can upload files, just as in erlangen, to ftp://samosa.debian.org/pub/UploadQueue/.

An upload queue is available in Japan: just upload the files via anonymous FTP to ftp://master.debian.or.jp/pub/Incoming/upload/.

### 6.6 Announcing package uploads

When a package is uploaded, an announcement should be posted to one of the “debian-changes” lists. This is now done automatically by the archive maintenance software when it runs (usually once a day). You just need to use a recent dpkg-dev (>= 1.4.1.2). The mail generated by the archive maintenance software will contain the PGP/GPG signed .changes files that you uploaded with your package. Previously, dupload used to send those announcements, so please make sure that you configured your dupload not to send those announcements (check its documentation and look for “dinstall_runs”).

If a package is released with the Distribution: set to ‘stable’, the announcement is sent to <debian-changes@lists.debian.org>. If a package is released with Distribution: set to ‘unstable’, or ‘experimental’, the announcement will be posted to <debian-devel-changes@lists.debian.org> instead.

The dupload program is clever enough to determine where the announcement should go, and will automatically mail the announcement to the right list. See ‘dupload’ on page 53.
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6.7 Notification that a new package has been installed

The Debian archive maintainers are responsible for handling package uploads. For the most part, uploads are automatically handled on a daily basis by the archive maintenance tools, katie. Specifically, updates to existing packages to the ‘unstable’ distribution are handled automatically. In other cases, notably new packages, placing the uploaded package into the distribution is handled manually. When uploads are handled manually, the change to the archive may take up to a month to occur. Please be patient.

In any case, you will receive email notification indicating that the package has added to the archive, which also indicates which bugs will be closed by the upload. Please examine this notification carefully, checking if any bugs you meant to close didn’t get triggered.

The installation notification also includes information on what section the package was inserted into. If there is a disparity, you will receive a separate email notifying you of that. Read on below.

6.7.1 The override file

The debian/control file’s Section and Priority fields do not actually specify where the file will be placed in the archive, nor its priority. In order to retain the overall integrity of the archive, it is the archive maintainers who have control over these fields. The values in the debian/control file are actually just hints.

The archive maintainers keep track of the canonical sections and priorities for packages in the override file. If there is a disparity between the override file and the package’s fields as indicated in debian/control, then you will receive an email noting the divergence when the package is installed into the archive. You can either correct your debian/control file for your next upload, or else you may wish to make a change in the override file.

To alter the actual section that a package is put in, you need to first make sure that the debian/control in your package is accurate. Next, send an email <override-change@debian.org> or submit a bug against ftp.debian.org requesting that the section or priority for your package be changed from the old section or priority to the new one. Be sure to explain your reasoning.

For more information about override files, see dpkg-scanpackages(8), /usr/share/doc/debian/bug-log-mailserver.txt, and /usr/share/doc/debian/bug-maint-info.txt.
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Non-Maintainer Uploads (NMUs)

Under certain circumstances it is necessary for someone other than the official package maintainer to make a release of a package. This is called a non-maintainer upload, or NMU.

Debian porters, who compile packages for different architectures, do NMUs as part of their normal porting activity (see ‘Porting and Being Ported’ on page 37). Another reason why NMUs are done is when a Debian developer needs to fix another developer’s packages in order to address serious security problems or crippling bugs, especially during the freeze, or when the package maintainer is unable to release a fix in a timely fashion.

This chapter contains information providing guidelines for when and how NMUs should be done. A fundamental distinction is made between source and binary-only NMUs, which is explained in the next section.

7.1 Terminology

There are two new terms used throughout this section: “binary-only NMU” and “source NMU”. These terms are used with specific technical meaning throughout this document. Both binary-only and source NMUs are similar, since they involve an upload of a package by a developer who is not the official maintainer of that package. That is why it’s a non-maintainer upload.

A source NMU is an upload of a package by a developer who is not the official maintainer, for the purposes of fixing a bug in the package. Source NMUs always involve changes to the source (even if it is just a change to debian/changelog). This can be either a change to the upstream source, or a change to the Debian bits of the source. Note, however, that source NMUs may also include architecture-dependent packages, as well as an updated Debian diff (or, more rarely, new upstream source as well).

A binary-only NMU is a recompilation and upload of a binary package for a given architecture. As such, it is usually part of a porting effort. A binary-only NMU is a non-maintainer uploaded binary version of a package, with no source changes required. There are many cases where porters must fix problems in the
source in order to get them to compile for their target architecture; that would be considered a source NMU rather than a binary-only NMU. As you can see, we don’t distinguish in terminology between porter NMUs and non-porter NMUs.

Both classes of NMUs, source and binary-only, can be lumped by the term “NMU”. However, this often leads to confusion, since most people think “source NMU” when they think “NMU”. So it’s best to be careful. In this chapter, if we use the unqualified term “NMU”, we refer to any type of non-maintainer upload NMUs, whether source and binary, or binary-only.

### 7.2 Who can do an NMU

Only official, registered Debian maintainers can do binary or source NMUs. An official maintainer is someone who has their key in the Debian key ring. Non-developers, however, are encouraged to download the source package and start hacking on it to fix problems; however, rather than doing an NMU, they should just submit worthwhile patches to the Bug Tracking System. Maintainers almost always appreciate quality patches and bug reports.

### 7.3 When to do a source NMU

Guidelines for when to do a source NMU depend on the target distribution, i.e., stable, unstable, or experimental. Porters have slightly different rules than non-porters, due to their unique circumstances (see ‘When to do a source NMU if you are a porter’ on page 39).

When a security bug is detected, a fixed package should be uploaded as soon as possible. In this case, the Debian security officers get in contact with the package maintainer to make sure a fixed package is uploaded within a reasonable time (less than 48 hours). If the package maintainer cannot provide a fixed package fast enough or if he/she cannot be reached in time, a security officer may upload a fixed package (i.e., do a source NMU).

During the release cycle (see ‘Stable, testing, and unstable’ on page 19), NMUs which fix serious or higher severity bugs are encouraged and accepted. Even during this window, however, you should endeavor to reach the current maintainer of the package; they might be just about to upload a fix for the problem. As with any source NMU, the guidelines found in ‘How to do a source NMU’ on the facing page need to be followed.

Bug fixes to unstable by non-maintainers are also acceptable, but only as a last resort or with permission. Try the following steps first, and if they don’t work, it is probably OK to do an NMU:

- Make sure that the package bug is in the Debian Bug Tracking System (BTS). If not, submit a bug.
- Email the maintainer, and offer to help fix the package bug. Give it a few days.
• Go ahead and fix the bug, submitting a patch to the right bug in the BTS. Build the package and test it as discussed in ‘Checking the package prior to upload’ on page 24. Use it locally.

• Wait a couple of weeks for a response.

• Email the maintainer, asking if it is OK to do an NMU.

• Double check that your patch doesn’t have any unexpected side effects. Make sure your patch is as small and as non-disruptive as it can be.

• Wait another week for a response.

• Go ahead and do the source NMU, as described in ‘How to do a source NMU’ on this page.

7.4 How to do a source NMU

The following applies to porters insofar as they are playing the dual role of being both package bug-fixers and package porters. If a porter has to change the Debian source archive, automatically their upload is a source NMU and is subject to its rules. If a porter is simply uploading a recompiled binary package, the rules are different; see ‘Guidelines for Porter Uploads’ on page 38.

First and foremost, it is critical that NMU patches to source should be as non-disruptive as possible. Do not do housekeeping tasks, do not change the name of modules or files, do not move directories; in general, do not fix things which are not broken. Keep the patch as small as possible. If things bother you aesthetically, talk to the Debian maintainer, talk to the upstream maintainer, or submit a bug. However, aesthetic changes must not be made in a non-maintainer upload.

7.4.1 Source NMU version numbering

Whenever you have made a change to a package, no matter how trivial, the version number needs to change. This enables our packing system to function.

If you are doing a non-maintainer upload (NMU), you should add a new minor version number to the debian-revision part of the version number (the portion after the last hyphen). This extra minor number will start at ‘1’. For example, consider the package ‘foo’, which is at version 1.1-3. In the archive, the source package control file would be foo_1.1-3.dsc. The upstream version is ‘1.1’ and the Debian revision is ‘3’. The next NMU would add a new minor number ‘.1’ to the Debian revision; the new source control file would be foo_1.1-3.1.dsc.

The Debian revision minor number is needed to avoid stealing one of the package maintainer’s version numbers, which might disrupt their work. It also has the benefit of making it visually clear that a package in the archive was not made by the official maintainer.
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If there is no `debian-revision` component in the version number then one should be created, starting at ‘0.1’. If it is absolutely necessary for someone other than the usual maintainer to make a release based on a new upstream version then the person making the release should start with the `debian-revision` value ‘0.1’. The usual maintainer of a package should start their `debian-revision` numbering at ‘1’. Note that if you do this, you’ll have to invoke `dpkg-buildpackage` with the `-sa` switch to force the build system to pick up the new source package (normally it only looks for Debian revisions of ’0’ or ’1’ — it’s not yet clever enough to know about ‘0.1’).

Remember, porters who are simply recompiling a package for a different architecture do not need to renumber. Porters should use new version numbers if and only if they actually have to modify the source package in some way, i.e., if they are doing a source NMU and not a binary NMU.

### 7.4.2 Source NMUs must have a new changelog entry

A non-maintainer doing a source NMU must create a changelog entry, describing which bugs are fixed by the NMU, and generally why the NMU was required and what it fixed. The changelog entry will have the non-maintainer’s email address in the log entry and the NMU version number in it.

By convention, source NMU changelog entries start with the line

```
* Non-maintainer upload
```

### 7.4.3 Source NMUs and the Bug Tracking System

Maintainers other than the official package maintainer should make as few changes to the package as possible, and they should always send a patch as a unified context diff (`diff -u`) detailing their changes to the Bug Tracking System.

What if you are simply recompiling the package? In this case, the process is different for porters than it is for non-porters, as mentioned above. If you are not a porter and are doing an NMU that simply requires a recompile (i.e., a new shared library is available to be linked against, a bug was fixed in `debhelper`), there must still be a changelog entry; therefore, there will be a patch. If you are a porter, you are probably just doing a binary-only NMU. (Note: this leaves out in the cold porters who have to do recompiles — chalk it up as a weakness in how we maintain our archive.)

If the source NMU (non-maintainer upload) fixes some existing bugs, these bugs should be tagged `fixed` in the Bug Tracking System rather than closed. By convention, only the official package maintainer or the original bug submitter are allowed to close bugs. Fortunately, Debian’s archive system recognizes NMUs and thus marks the bugs fixed in the NMU appropriately if the person doing the NMU has listed all bugs in the changelog with the `Closes: bug#nnnnn` syntax (see ‘When bugs are closed by new uploads’ on page 46 for more information describing how to close bugs via the changelog). Tagging the bugs `fixed` ensures that everyone knows that the bug was fixed in an NMU; however the bug is left open until the changes in the NMU are incorporated officially into the package by the official package maintainer.
Also, after doing an NMU, you have to open a new bug and include a patch showing all the changes you have made. The normal maintainer will either apply the patch or employ an alternate method of fixing the problem. Sometimes bugs are fixed independently upstream, which is another good reason to back out an NMU’s patch. If the maintainer decides not to apply the NMU’s patch but to release a new version, the maintainer needs to ensure that the new upstream version really fixes each problem that was fixed in the non-maintainer release.

In addition, the normal maintainer should always retain the entry in the changelog file documenting the non-maintainer upload.

### 7.4.4 Building source NMUs

Source NMU packages are built normally. Pick a distribution using the same rules as found in ‘Picking a distribution’ on page 26. Just as described in ‘Uploading a package’ on page 27, a normal changes file, etc., will be built. In fact, all the prescriptions from ‘Package uploads’ on page 23 apply, including the need to announce the NMU to the proper lists.

Make sure you do not change the value of the maintainer in the debian/control file. Your name as given in the NMU entry of the debian/changelog file will be used for signing the changes file.
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Porting and Being Ported

Debian supports an ever-increasing number of architectures. Even if you are not a porter, and you don’t use any architecture but one, it is part of your duty as a maintainer to be aware of issues of portability. Therefore, even if you are not a porter, you should read most of this chapter.

Porting is the act of building Debian packages for architectures that is different from the original architecture of the package maintainer’s binary package. It is a unique and essential activity. In fact, porters do most of the actual compiling of Debian packages. For instance, for a single i386 binary package, there must be a recompile for each architecture, which is amounts to 12 more builds.

8.1 Being Kind to Porters

Porters have a difficult and unique task, since they are required to deal with a large volume of packages. Ideally, every source package should build right out of the box. Unfortunately, this is often not the case. This section contains a checklist of “gotchas” often committed by Debian maintainers — common problems which often stymie porters, and make their jobs unnecessarily difficult.

The first and most important watchword is to respond quickly to bug or issues raised by porters. Please treat porters with courtesy, as if they were in fact co-maintainers of your package (which in a way, they are). Please be tolerant of succinct or even unclear bug reports, doing your best to hunt down whatever the problem is.

By far, most of the problems encountered by porters are caused by packaging bugs in the source packages. Here is a checklist of things you should check or be aware of.

1. Make sure that your `Build-Depends` and `Build-Depends-Indep` settings in `debian/control` are set properly. The best way to validate this is to use the `debootstrap` package to create an unstable chroot environment. Within that chrooted environment, install the `build-essential` package.
and any package dependancies mention in Build-Depends and/or Build-Depends-Indep. Finally, try building your package within that chrooted environment.


2. Don’t set architecture to a value other than “all” or “any” unless you really mean it. In too many cases, maintainers don’t follow the instructions in the Debian Policy Manual (http://www.debian.org/doc/debian-policy/). Setting your architecture to “i386” is usually incorrect.

3. Make sure your source package is correct. Do dpkg-source -x package.dsc to make sure your source package unpacks properly. Then, in there, try building your package from scratch with dpkg-buildpackage.

4. Make sure you don’t ship your source package with the debian/files or debian/substvars files. They should be removed by the `clean` target of debian/rules.

5. Make sure you don’t rely on locally installed or hacked configurations or programs. For instance, you should never be calling programs in /usr/local/bin or the like. Try not to rely on programs be setup in a special way. Try building your package on another machine, even if it’s the same architecture.

6. Don’t depend on the package you’re building already being installed (a sub-case of the above issue).

7. Don’t rely on the compiler being a certain version, if possible. If not, then make sure your build dependencies reflect the restrictions, although you are probably asking for trouble, since different architectures sometimes standardize on different compilers.

8. Make sure your debian/rules contains separate “binary-arch” and “binary-indep” targets, as the Debian Packaging Manual requires. Make sure that both targets work independently, that is, that you can call the target without having called the other before. To test this, try to run dpkg-buildpackage -b.

8.2 Guidelines for Porter Uploads

If the package builds out of the box for the architecture to be ported to, you are in luck and your job is easy. This section applies to that case; it describes how to build and upload your binary-only NMU so that it is properly installed into the archive. If you do have to patch the package in order to get it to compile for the other architecture, you are actually doing a source NMU, so consult ‘How to do a source NMU’ on page 33 instead.

In a binary-only NMU, no real changes are being made to the source. You do not need to touch any of the files in the source package. This includes debian/changelog.

The way to invoke dpkg-buildpackage is as dpkg-buildpackage -B -rporter-email. Of course, set porter-email to your email address. This will do a binary-only build of only the architecture-dependant portions of the package, using the ‘binary-arch’ target in debian/rules.
8.2.1 Recompilation Binary-Only NMU Versioning

Sometimes you need to recompile a package against other packages which have been updated, such as libraries. You do have to bump the version number in this case, so that the version comparison system can function properly. Even so, these are considered binary-only NMUs — there is no need in this case to trigger all other architectures to consider themselves out of date or requiring recompilation.

Such recompilations require special “magic” version numbering, so that the archive maintenance tools recognize that, even though there is a new Debian version, there is no corresponding source update. If you get this wrong, the archive maintainers will reject your upload (due to lack of corresponding source code).

The “magic” for a recompilation-only NMU is triggered by using the third-level number on the Debian part of the version. For instance, if the latest version you are recompiling against was version “2.9-3”, your NMU should carry a version of “2.9-3.0.1”. If the latest version was “3.4-2.1”, your NMU should have a version number of “3.4-2.1.1”.

8.2.2 When to do a source NMU if you are a porter

Porters doing a source NMU generally follow the guidelines found in ‘Non-Maintainer Uploads (NMUs)’ on page 31, just like non-porters. However, it is expected that the wait cycle for a porter’s source NMU is smaller than for a non-porter, since porters have to cope with a large quantity of packages. Again, the situation varies depending on the distribution they are uploading to.

However, if you are a porter doing an NMU for ‘unstable’, the above guidelines for porting should be followed, with two variations. Firstly, the acceptable waiting period — the time between when the bug is submitted to the BTS and when it is OK to do an NMU — is seven days for porters working on the unstable distribution. This period can be shortened if the problem is critical and imposes hardship on the porting effort, at the discretion of the porter group. (Remember, none of this is Policy, just mutually agreed upon guidelines.)

Secondly, porters doing source NMUs should make sure that the bug they submit to the BTS should be of severity ‘serious’ or greater. This ensures that a single source package can be used to compile every supported Debian architecture by release time. It is very important that we have one version of the binary and source package for all architecture in order to comply with many licenses.

Porters should try to avoid patches which simply kludge around bugs in the current version of the compile environment, kernel, or libc. Sometimes such kludges can’t be helped. If you have to kludge around compilers bugs and the like, make sure you #ifdef your work properly; also, document your kludge so that people know to remove it once the external problems have been fixed.

Porters may also have an unofficial location where they can put the results of their work during the waiting period. This helps others running the port have the benefit of the porter’s work, even during the waiting period. Of course, such locations have no official blessing or status, so buyer, beware.
8.3 Tools for Porters

There are several tools available for the porting effort. This section contains a brief introduction to these tools; see the package documentation or references for full information.

8.3.1 quinn-diff

quinn-diff is used to locate the differences from one architecture to another. For instance, it could tell you which packages need to be ported for architecture Y, based on architecture X.

8.3.2 buildd

The buildd system is used as a distributed, client-server build distribution system. It is usually used in conjunction with auto-builders, which are “slave” hosts which simply check out and attempt to auto-build packages which need to be ported. There is also an email interface to the system, which allows porters to “check out” a source package (usually one which cannot yet be autobuilt) and work on it.

buildd is not yet available as a package; however, most porting efforts are either using it currently or planning to use it in the near future. It collects a number of as yet unpackaged components which are currently very useful and in use continually, such as andrea, sbuild and wanna-build.

Some of the data produced by buildd which is generally useful to porters is available on the web at http://buildd.debian.org/. This data includes nightly updated information from andrea (source dependencies) and quinn-diff (packages needing recompilation).

We are very excited about this system, since it potentially has so many uses. Independent development groups can use the system for different sub-flavors of Debian, which may or may not really be of general interest (for instance, a flavor of Debian built with gcc bounds checking). It will also enable Debian to recompile entire distributions quickly.

8.3.3 dpkg-cross

dpkg-cross is a tool for installing libraries and headers for cross-compiling in a way similar to dpkg. Furthermore, the functionality of dpkg-buildpackage and dpkg-shlibdeps is enhanced to support cross-compiling.
Chapter 9

Moving, Removing, Renaming, Adopting, and Orphaning Packages

Some archive manipulation operation are not automated in the Debian upload process. These procedures should be manually followed by maintainers. This chapter gives guidelines in what to do in these cases.

9.1 Moving packages

Sometimes a package will change its section. For instance, a package from the ‘non-free’ section might be GPL’d in a later version, in which case, the package should be moved to ‘main’ or ‘contrib’.

If you need to change the section for one of your packages, change the package control information to place the package in the desired section, and re-upload the package (see the Debian Policy Manual (http://www.debian.org/doc/debian-policy/) for details). Carefully examine the installation log sent to you when the package is installed into the archive. If for some reason the old location of the package remains, file a bug against ftp.debian.org asking that the old location be removed. Give details on what you did, since it might be a bug in the archive maintenance software.

If, on the other hand, you need to change the subsection of one of your packages (e.g., “devel”, “admin”), the procedure is slightly different. Correct the subsection as found in the control file of the package, and reupload that. Also, you’ll need to get the override file updated, as described in ‘The override file’ on page 30.

9.2 Removing packages

If for some reason you want to completely remove a package (say, if it is an old compatibility library which is no longer required), you need to file a bug against ftp.debian.org asking that the package be removed. Make sure you indicate which distribution the package should be removed from.

If in doubt concerning whether a package is disposable, email <debian-devel@lists.debian.org> asking for opinions. Also of interest is the apt-cache program from the apt package. When invoked as apt-cache showpkg package, the program will show details for package, including reverse depends.

9.2.1 Removing packages from Incoming

In the past, it was possible to remove packages from incoming. With the introduction of the New Incoming system this is no longer possible. Instead, you have to upload a new revision of your package with a higher version as the package you want to replace. Both versions will be installed in the archive but only the higher version will actually be available in unstable since the previous version will immediately be replaced by the higher. However, if you do proper testing of your packages, the need to replace a package should not occur too often anyway.

9.3 Replacing or renaming packages

Sometimes you made a mistake naming the package and you need to rename it. In this case, you need to follow a two-step process. First, set your debian/control file to replace and conflict with the obsolete name of the package (see the Debian Policy Manual (http://www.debian.org/doc/debian-policy/) for details). Once you’ve uploaded that package, and the package has moved into the archive, file a bug against ftp.debian.org asking to remove the package with the obsolete name.

9.4 Orphaning a package

If you can no longer maintain a package, you need to inform the others about that, and see that the package is marked as orphaned. you should set the package maintainer to Debian QA Group <packages@qa.debian.org> and submit a bug report against the pseudo package wnpp. The bug report should be titled O: package -- short description indicating that the package is now orphaned. The severity of the bug should be set to normal. If you feel it’s necessary, send a copy to <debian-devel@lists.debian.org> by putting the address in the X-Debbugs-CC: header of the message (no, don’t use CC:, because that way the message’s subject won’t indicate the bug number).
If the package is especially crucial to Debian, you should instead submit a bug against wnpp and title it \texttt{RFA: package -- short description} and set its severity to \texttt{important}. Definitely copy the message to debian-devel in this case, as described above.

Read instructions on the WNPP web pages (http://www.debian.org/devel/wnpp/) for more information.

### 9.5 Adopting a package

A list of packages in need of a new maintainer is available at in the Work-Needing and Prospective Packages list (WNPP) (http://www.debian.org/devel/wnpp/). If you wish to take over maintenance of any of the packages listed in the WNPP, please take a look at the aforementioned page for information and procedures.

It is not OK to simply take over a package that you feel is neglected — that would be package hijacking. You can, of course, contact the current maintainer and ask them if you may take over the package. However, without their assent, you may not take over the package. Even if they ignore you, that is still not grounds to take over a package. If you really feel that a maintainer has gone AWOL (absent without leave), post a query to <debian-private@lists.debian.org>.

If you take over an old package, you probably want to be listed as the package’s official maintainer in the bug system. This will happen automatically once you upload a new version with an updated \texttt{Maintainer:} field, although it can take a few hours after the upload is done. If you do not expect to upload a new version for a while, send an email to <override-change@debian.org> so that bug reports will go to you right away.
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Handling Bugs

10.1 Monitoring bugs

If you want to be a good maintainer, you should periodically check the Debian bug tracking system (BTS) (http://www.debian.org/Bugs/) for your packages. The BTS contains all the open bugs against your packages.

Maintainers interact with the BTS via email addresses at bugs.debian.org. Documentation on available commands can be found at http://www.debian.org/Bugs/, or, if you have installed the doc-debian package, you can look at the local files /usr/share/doc/debian/bug-*. Some find it useful to get periodic reports on open bugs. You can add a cron job such as the following if you want to get a weekly email outlining all the open bugs against your packages:

```
# ask for weekly reports of bugs in my packages
0 17 * * fri echo "index maint address" | mail request@bugs.debian.org
```

Replace address with your official Debian maintainer address.

10.2 Submitting Bugs

Often as a package maintainer, you find bugs in other packages or else have bugs reported to your packages which need to be reassigned. The BTS instructions (http://www.debian.org/Bugs/server-control.html) can tell you how to do this.

We encourage you to file bugs when there are problems. Try to submit the bug from a normal user account at which you are likely to receive mail. Do not submit bugs as root.
Make sure the bug is not already filed against a package. Try to do a good job reporting a bug and redirecting it to the proper location. For extra credit, you can go through other packages, merging bugs which are reported more than once, or setting bug severities to 'fixed' when they have already been fixed. Note that when you are neither the bug submitter nor the package maintainer, you should not actually close the bug (unless you secure permission from the maintainer).

### 10.3 Responding to Bugs

Make sure that any discussions you have about bugs are sent both to the original submitter of the bug, and the bug itself (e.g., `<123@bugs.debian.org>`).

You should never close bugs via the bug server 'close' command sent to `<control@bugs.debian.org>`. If you do so, the original submitter will not receive any feedback on why the bug was closed.

### 10.4 When bugs are closed by new uploads

If you fix a bug in your packages, it is your responsibility as the package maintainer to close the bug when it has been fixed. However, you should not close the bug until the package which fixes the bug has been accepted into the Debian archive. Therefore, once you get notification that your updated package has been installed into the archive, you can and should close the bug in the BTS.

If you are using a new version of `dpkg-dev` and you do your changelog entry properly, the archive maintenance software will close the bugs automatically. All you have to do is follow a certain syntax in your `debian/changelog` file:

```
acme-cannon (3.1415) unstable; urgency=low

* Frobbed with options (closes: Bug#98339)
* Added safety to prevent operator dismemberment, closes: bug#98765, bug#98713, #98714.
  * Added manpage. Closes: #98725.
```

Technically speaking, the following Perl regular expression is what is used:

```
\acme-cannon\s+\(3.1415\)\s+(unstable|stable)\s+;\s+urgency=low\n
* Frobbed with options (closes: Bug#98339)
* Added safety to prevent operator dismemberment, closes: bug#98765, bug#98713, #98714.
  * Added manpage. Closes: #98725.
```

The author prefers the `closes: Bug#XXX` syntax, since it stands out from the rest of the changelog entries.

If you want to close bugs the old fashioned, manual way, it is usually sufficient to mail the `.changes` file to `<XXX-done@bugs.debian.org>`, where `XXX` is your bug number.
10.5 Lintian reports

You should periodically get the new lintian from ‘unstable’ and check over all your packages. Alternatively you can check for your maintainer email address at the online lintian report (http://lintian.debian.org/). That report, which is updated automatically, contains lintian reports against the latest version of the distribution (usually from ‘unstable’) using the latest lintian.

10.6 Reporting lots of bugs at once

Reporting a great number of bugs for the same problem on a great number of different packages — i.e., more than 10 — is a deprecated practice. Take all possible steps to avoid submitting bulk bugs at all. For instance, if checking for the problem can be automated, add a new check to lintian so that an error or warning is emitted.

If you report more than 10 bugs on the same topic at once, it is recommended that you send a message to <debian-devel@lists.debian.org> describing your intention before submitting the report. This will allow other developers to verify that the bug is a real problem. In addition, it will help prevent a situation in which several maintainers start filing the same bug report simultaneously.

Note that when sending lots of bugs on the same subject, you should send the bug report to <maintonly@bugs.debian.org> so that the bug report is not forwarded to the bug distribution mailing list.
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Interaction with Prospective Developers

This chapter describes procedures that existing Debian developers should follow when it comes to dealing with wannabe developers.

11.1 Sponsoring packages

Sponsoring a package means uploading a package for a maintainer who is not able to do it on their own, a new maintainer applicant. Sponsoring a package also means accepting responsibility for it.

New maintainers usually have certain difficulties creating Debian packages — this is quite understandable. That is why the sponsor is there, to check the package and verify that it is good enough for inclusion in Debian. (Note that if the sponsored package is new, the FTP admins will also have to inspect it before letting it in.)

Sponsoring merely by signing the upload or just recompiling is definitely not recommended. You need to build the source package just like you would build a package of your own. Remember that it doesn’t matter that you left the prospective developer’s name both in the changelog and the control file, the upload can still be traced to you.

If you are an application manager for a prospective developer, you can also be their sponsor. That way you can also verify the how the applicant is handling the ‘Tasks and Skills’ part of their application.

11.2 Advocating new developers

See the page about advocating a prospective developer (http://www.debian.org/devel/join/nm-advocate) at the Debian web site.
11.3 Handling new maintainer applications

Please see Checklist for Application Managers (http://www.debian.org/devel/join/nm-amchecklist) at the Debian web site.
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Overview of Debian Maintainer Tools

This section contains a rough overview of the tools available to maintainers. The following is by no means complete or definitive, but just a guide to some of the more popular tools.

Debian maintainer tools are meant to help convenience developers and free their time for critical tasks. As Larry Wall says, there’s more than one way to do it.

Some people prefer to use high-level package maintenance tools and some do not. Debian is officially agnostic on this issue; any tool which gets the job done is fine. Therefore, this section is not meant to stipulate to anyone which tools they should use or how they should go about with their duties of maintainership. Nor is it meant to endorse any particular tool to the exclusion of a competing tool.

Most of the descriptions of these packages come from the actual package descriptions themselves. Further information can be found in the package documentation itself. You can also see more info with the command `apt-cache show package_name`.

12.1 dpkg-dev

dpkg-dev contains the tools (including dpkg-source) required to unpack, build and upload Debian source packages. These utilities contain the fundamental, low-level functionality required to create and manipulated packages; as such, they are required for any Debian maintainer.

12.2 lintian

Lintian dissects Debian packages and reports bugs and policy violations. It contains automated checks for many aspects of Debian policy as well as some checks for common errors. The use of lintian has already been discussed in ‘Checking the package prior to upload’ on page 24 and ‘Lintian reports’ on page 47.
12.3 debconf

debconf provides a consistent interface to configuring packages interactively. It is user interface indepen-
dent, allowing end-users to configure packages with a text-only interface, an HTML interface, or a dialog
interface. New interfaces can be added modularly.

You can find documentation for this package in the debconf-doc package.

Many feel that this system should be used for all packages requiring interactive configuration. debconf is
not currently required by Debian Policy, however, that may change in the future.

12.4 debhelper

debhelper is a collection of programs that can be used in debian/rules to automate common tasks
related to building binary Debian packages. Programs are included to install various files into your package,
compress files, fix file permissions, integrate your package with the Debian menu system.

Unlike some approaches, debhelper is broken into several small, granular commands which act in a
consistent manner. As such, it allows a greater granularity of control than some of the other “debian/rules
tools”.

There are a number of little debhelper add-on packages, too transient to document. You can see the list
of most of them by doing apt-cache search ^dh-.

12.5 debmake

debmake, a pre-cursor to debhelper, is a less granular debian/rules assistant. It includes two
main programs: deb-make, which can be used to help a maintainer convert a regular (non-Debian) source
archive into a Debian source package; and debstd, which incorporates in one big shot the same sort of
automated functions that one finds in debhelper.

The consensus is that debmake is now deprecated in favor of debhelper. However, it’s not a bug to use
debmake.

12.6 yada

yada is another packaging helper tool. It uses a debian/packages file to auto-generate debian
/rules other necessary files in the debian/ subdirectory.

Note that yada is called “essentially unmaintained” by it’s own maintainer, Charles Briscoe-Smith. As
such, it can be considered deprecated.
12.7 **equivs**

*equivs* is another package for making packages. It is often suggested for local use if you need to make a package simply to fulfill dependencies. It is also sometimes used when making “meta-packages”, which are packages whose only purpose is to depend on other packages.

12.8 **cvs-buildpackage**

cvs-buildpackage provides the capability to inject or import Debian source packages into a CVS repository, build a Debian package from the CVS repository, and helps in integrating upstream changes into the repository.

These utilities provide an infrastructure to facilitate the use of CVS by Debian maintainers. This allows one to keep separate CVS branches of a package for *stable*, *unstable*, and possibly *experimental* distributions, along with the other benefits of a version control system.

12.9 **dupload**

dupload is a package and a script to automagically upload Debian packages to the Debian archive, to log the upload, and to send mail about the upload of a package. You can configure it for new upload locations or methods.

12.10 **dput**

The *dput* package and script does much the same thing as *dupload*, but in a different way. It has some features over *dupload*, such as the ability to check the GnuPG signature and checksums before uploading, and the possibility of running *dinstall* in dry-run mode after the upload.

12.11 **fakeroot**

*fakeroot* simulates root privileges. This enables you to build packages without being root (packages usually want to install files with root ownership). If you have *fakeroot* installed, you can build packages as a user: `dpkg-buildpackage -rfakeroot`.
12.12 **debootstrap**

The debootstrap package and script allows you to “bootstrap” a Debian base system into any part of your filesystem. By “base system”, we mean the bare minimum of packages required to operate and install the rest of the system.

Having a system link this can be useful in many ways. For instance, you can chroot into it if you want to test your build depends. Or, you can test how your package behaves when installed into a bare base system.

12.13 **devscripts**

devscripts is a package containing a few wrappers and tools which you may find helpful for maintaining your Debian packages. Example scripts include debchange and dch, which manipulate your debian/changelog file from the command-line, and debuild, which is a wrapper around dpkg-buildpackage.

12.14 **dpkg-dev-el**

dpkg-dev-el is an Emacs lisp package which provides assistance when editing some of the files in the debian directory of your package. For instance, when editing debian/changelog, there are handy functions for finalizing a version and listing the package’s current bugs.

12.15 **debget**

debget is a package containing a convenient script which can be helpful in downloading files from the Debian archive. You can use it to download source packages, for instance (although apt-get source package does pretty much the same thing).